

Two-point Correlators in the Effective Field Theory of Large Scale Structures

Lorenzo Mercolli

Princeton University

COSMO 2013

In collaboration with E. Pajer

Outline

- 1 Why change the standard approach?
- 2 Formulation of the EFToLSS
- 3 Two- and three-point correlators

Standard perturbation theory and its problems

In SPT we have to deal with:

[Bernardeau et al. '02]

Standard perturbation theory and its problems

In SPT we have to deal with:

[Bernardeau et al. '02]

- Perturbative expansion not well defined, i.e. $\delta > 1$ locally.

Standard perturbation theory and its problems

In SPT we have to deal with:

[Bernardeau et al. '02]

- Perturbative expansion not well defined, i.e. $\delta > 1$ locally.
- Depending on the initial conditions, results are divergent.

Standard perturbation theory and its problems

In SPT we have to deal with:

[Bernardeau et al. '02]

- Perturbative expansion not well defined, i.e. $\delta > 1$ locally.
- Depending on the initial conditions, results are divergent.
- Limited to the perfect fluid approximation.

Standard perturbation theory and its problems

In SPT we have to deal with:

[Bernardeau et al. '02]

- Perturbative expansion not well defined, i.e. $\delta > 1$ locally.
- Depending on the initial conditions, results are divergent.
- Limited to the perfect fluid approximation.

EFToLSS addresses all these issues by consistently treating of the separation of short- and long-distance dynamics.

Formulation of the EFToLSS

General strategy of the EFToLSS:

- Setup: point particles in Newtonian cosmology.

Formulation of the EFToLSS

General strategy of the EFToLSS:

- Setup: point particles in Newtonian cosmology.
- Define a density and velocity field: $\rho(\vec{x}, t) \equiv \sum_n \frac{m}{a^3} \delta_D(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n)$.

Formulation of the EFToLSS

General strategy of the EFToLSS:

- Setup: point particles in Newtonian cosmology.
- Define a density and velocity field: $\rho(\vec{x}, t) \equiv \sum_n \frac{m}{a^3} \delta_D(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n)$.
- Apply a smoothing over some scale Λ .

Formulation of the EFToLSS

General strategy of the EFToLSS:

- Setup: point particles in Newtonian cosmology.
- Define a density and velocity field: $\rho(\vec{x}, t) \equiv \sum_n \frac{m}{a^3} \delta_D(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n)$.
- Apply a smoothing over some scale Λ .
- Study dynamics of smoothed fields while taking into account short-scale effects.

[Baumann et al. '10, Carrasco et al. '12, Hertzberg '12, Pietroni et al. '11]

Symmetries of the system

First possibility: equations of motion from the smoothed Boltzmann equation.

[Baumann et al. '10, Carrasco et al. '12, Hertzberg '12]

Symmetries of the system

First possibility: equations of motion from the smoothed Boltzmann equation.

[Baumann et al. '10, Carrasco et al. '12, Hertzberg '12]

EFT approach: write down the most general equations of motion compatible with the symmetries.

[LM & Pajer '13]

Power counting: expand in powers of smoothed fields and derivatives.

Equations of motion

In an Einstein-de Sitter universe:

$$\mathcal{H}a\partial_a\delta + \vec{\nabla} \cdot [(1 + \delta)\vec{v}] = -\chi_1 \frac{\Delta\delta}{\mathcal{H}} + \chi_2 \frac{\Delta\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}}{\mathcal{H}^2} - \frac{J'}{\mathcal{H}} + \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}a\partial_a\vec{v} + \mathcal{H}\vec{v} + \vec{\nabla}\phi + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{v} &= -c_s^2 \vec{\nabla}\delta + \frac{3}{4} \frac{c_{sv}^2}{\mathcal{H}} \Delta\vec{v} \\ &+ \frac{4c_{bv}^2 + c_{sv}^2}{4\mathcal{H}} \vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}) - \Delta\vec{J} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Equations of motion

In an Einstein-de Sitter universe:

$$\mathcal{H}a\partial_a\delta + \vec{\nabla} \cdot [(1 + \delta)\vec{v}] = -\chi_1 \frac{\Delta\delta}{\mathcal{H}} + \chi_2 \frac{\Delta\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}}{\mathcal{H}^2} - \frac{J'}{\mathcal{H}} + \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}a\partial_a\vec{v} + \mathcal{H}\vec{v} + \vec{\nabla}\phi + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{v} &= -c_s^2 \vec{\nabla}\delta + \frac{3}{4} \frac{c_{sv}^2}{\mathcal{H}} \Delta\vec{v} \\ &+ \frac{4c_{bv}^2 + c_{sv}^2}{4\mathcal{H}} \vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}) - \Delta\vec{J} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note the extra (blue) terms!

[LM & Pajer '13]

- $c_{s,sv,bv}$, $\chi_{1,2}$, J' and \vec{J} parametrize the short scale dynamics (effective stress tensor).

- $c_{s,sv,bv}$, $\chi_{1,2}$, J' and \vec{J} parametrize the short scale dynamics (effective stress tensor).
- All divergences are cancelled by the renormalization of $c_{s,sv,bv}$, $\chi_{1,2}$, J' and \vec{J} .

- $c_{s,sv,bv}$, $\chi_{1,2}$, J' and \vec{J} parametrize the short scale dynamics (effective stress tensor).
- All divergences are cancelled by the renormalization of $c_{s,sv,bv}$, $\chi_{1,2}$, J' and \vec{J} .
- $\chi_{1,2}$ and J' are *needed* to cancel the divergences of the velocity correlators and are generated when renormalization group flow is considered.

- $c_{s,sv,bv}$, $\chi_{1,2}$, J' and \vec{J} parametrize the short scale dynamics (effective stress tensor).
- All divergences are cancelled by the renormalization of $c_{s,sv,bv}$, $\chi_{1,2}$, J' and \vec{J} .
- $\chi_{1,2}$ and J' are *needed* to cancel the divergences of the velocity correlators and are generated when renormalization group flow is considered.
- In density correlators $\chi_{1,2}$ and J' are degenerate with $c_{s,sv,bv}$ and \vec{J} .

Self-similarity

In an EdS universe the equations of motion are self-similar, i.e. invariant under $t \rightarrow \lambda_t t$ and $\vec{x} \rightarrow \lambda_x \vec{x}$.

\implies For scale-free initial conditions $P_{in}(k) = A k^n$ also the solutions are self-similar.

Self-similarity

In an EdS universe the equations of motion are self-similar, i.e. invariant under $t \rightarrow \lambda_t t$ and $\vec{x} \rightarrow \lambda_x \vec{x}$.

\implies For scale-free initial conditions $P_{in}(k) = A k^n$ also the solutions are self-similar.

\implies Correlators are *only* a function of $\frac{k}{k_{NL}}$ with $k_{NL} \equiv \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{A a^2}\right)^{1/(3+n)}$

[Pajer & Zaldarriaga '13]

General form of the two-point correlators

The two-point correlators $\Delta_{\delta\delta}^2$, $\Delta_{\delta\theta}^2$ and $\Delta_{\theta\theta}^2$:

$$\Delta^2 = \left(\frac{k}{k_{NL}}\right)^{n+3} + \left(\frac{k}{k_{NL}}\right)^{2(n+3)} \left[\alpha + \tilde{\alpha} \ln \left(\frac{k}{k_{NL}}\right) \right] \\ + \beta \left(\frac{k}{k_{NL}}\right)^{n+5} + \gamma \left(\frac{k}{k_{NL}}\right)^7 + \dots$$

α , $\tilde{\alpha}$ computable as in SPT and $\Delta^2 \equiv k^3 P(k)/2\pi^2$.

[Pajer & Zaldarriaga '13, LM & Pajer '13]

Bispectrum (work in progress)

Considering three-point correlators of δ and θ :

- How do the various contributions scale?

Bispectrum (work in progress)

Considering three-point correlators of δ and θ :

- How do the various contributions scale?
- Does the renormalization work for three-point functions?

Bispectrum (work in progress)

Considering three-point correlators of δ and θ :

- How do the various contributions scale?
- Does the renormalization work for three-point functions?
- Do we have to consider higher derivative terms?

Conclusions

- Separation of scales \implies effective field theories!
- EFToLSS solves conceptual issues of SPT.
- When considering the velocity field, new terms are necessary.
- Self-similarity \implies simple form for the correlators.

Linear equations of motion

$$\mathcal{H}^2 \left\{ -a^2 \partial_a^2 - \frac{3}{2} a \partial_a + \frac{3}{2} \right\} \delta = -d^2 \Delta \delta_1 - J + \left(\frac{3}{2} + a \partial_a \right) \left(\tilde{d}^2 \Delta \delta_1 + J' \right),$$

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ a^2 \partial_a^2 + \frac{5}{2} a \partial_a - 1 \right\} \theta = -(1 + a \partial_a) (d^2 \Delta \delta_1 + J) + \frac{3}{2} \left(\tilde{d}^2 \Delta \delta_1 + J' \right),$$

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ a \partial_a + 1 \right\} \vec{\omega} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{c_{sv}^2}{\mathcal{H}} \Delta \vec{\omega} - \vec{\nabla} \times \Delta \vec{J}.$$

$$d^2 \equiv c_s^2 + c_{sv}^2 + c_{bv}^2 \qquad \tilde{d}^2 \equiv \chi_1 + \chi_2 \qquad J \equiv \Delta \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J}$$

Vorticity

SPT: vorticity correlator decays as $\sim 1/a^2$.

EFToLSS: noise term is a constant source for vorticity.

Peebles argument: $P_{\omega\omega} \sim k^4 \implies \mathcal{I}_{\omega\omega} = \gamma \left(\frac{k}{k_{NL}} \right)^7$.

[Zel'dovich '65, Peebles '80, LM & Pajer '13]

The non-linear scale

$$P_{in}(k) = Ak^n$$

$$\Delta^2(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P_{in}(k)$$

Definition of the non-linear scale k_{NL} :

$$\Delta_{lin}^2(k_{NL}) \equiv 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad k_{NL} = \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{Aa^2} \right)^{1/(3+n)}$$

[Pajer & Zaldarriaga '13]

α_1 and $\tilde{\alpha}_1$

| n | -2 | -3/2 | -1 | -1/2 | 0 | 1/2 | 1 | 3/2 | 2 | 5/2 | 3 |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------|--------|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| $\alpha_{1,\delta\delta}$ | 1.38 | 0.239 | 0.0489 | 0.537 | 0.336 | 0.257 | 0.00799 | -0.0904 | -0.0336 | -0.0446 | -0.0213 |
| $\tilde{\alpha}_{1,\delta\delta}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.194 | 0 | 0 | -0.0918 | -0.0381 | 0.00188 | 0 | -0.0134 | 0.0151 |

| n | -2 | -3/2 | -1 | -1/2 | 0 | 1/2 | 1 | 3/2 | 2 | 5/2 | 3 |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| $\alpha_{1,\theta\theta}$ | 0.655 | -0.442 | -0.128 | 0.852 | 0.495 | 0.488 | 0.0445 | -0.231 | -0.0671 | -0.0848 | -0.0317 |
| $\tilde{\alpha}_{1,\theta\theta}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.397 | 0 | 0 | -0.0646 | -0.125 | 0.0237 | 0 | -0.0202 | 0.0248 |

| n | -2 | -3/2 | -1 | -1/2 | 0 | 1/2 | 1 | 3/2 | 2 | 5/2 | 3 |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|--------|------|-------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| $\alpha_{1,\theta\theta}$ | 0.0755 | -1.03 | -0.232 | 1.24 | 0.755 | 0.727 | -0.0278 | -0.394 | -0.0755 | -0.121 | -0.0436 |
| $\tilde{\alpha}_{1,\theta\theta}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | -0.124 | -0.212 | 0.0845 | 0. | -0.0318 | 0.0345 |

For $\tilde{\alpha}_1 \neq 0$ α_1 is degenerate with fitting parameter and renormalization scheme dependent.

Divergences

Non-linear corrections to two-point correlators are divergent:

$$P_{22}(k) \sim k^4 \int dq \frac{P_{lin}(q)^2}{q^2} \quad P_{13} \sim k^2 P_{lin}(k) \int dq P_{lin}(q)$$

EFT contributions to the power spectra:

$$P_J \sim k^4 \quad P_c(k) \sim k^2 P_{lin}(k)$$

⇒ divergences cancel by renormalizing $c_{s,sv,bv}$, $\chi_{1,2}$, J' and $J!$

⇒ physically meaningful results!

[Pajer & Zaldarriaga '13]

Scaling

